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SUMMARY We report an SRAM with a 90% reduction of active-leakage power achieved by controlling the supply voltage. In our design, the supply voltage of a selected row in the SRAM goes up to 1 V, while that in other memory cells that are not selected is kept at 0.3 V. This suppresses active leakage because of the drain-induced barrier lowering (DIBL) effect. To avoid unexpected flips in the memory cells, the wordline voltage is controlled so that it is always lower than the supply voltage in the proposed SRAM, with a self-alignment timing generator. The additional area overhead of the timing generator is 3.5%.
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1. Introduction

To meet the requirements of battery-operated portable equipment, low-power techniques are demanded. In particular, strategies to lower active leakage are becoming important since the supply voltage (V<sub>DD</sub>) and threshold voltage (V<sub>TH</sub>) have been lowered. According to the ITRS prediction [2], 90% of the area of a system LSI will be occupied by memory in 2013, as shown in Fig. 1, and considerably large leakage current will flow through it. Since SRAM compatible with a CMOS process apparently will play a prominent role as a memory even in a future system LSI, it is important to reduce the leakage current through the large-area SRAM, not only in the standby mode but also in the active mode. However, it is not possible merely to apply an existing leakage cutoff scheme such as the MTCMOS [3] to SRAMs, because information stored in the SRAMs would be lost if the power line were cut off. The MTCMOS does not address the active-leakage problem in SRAMs.

Other ways of achieving low standby-power SRAMs have been proposed [4]–[6]. When a row in an SRAM is accessed, the source voltage in the minuscule region becomes grounded. In all other memory cells, the source voltage is kept at a low voltage that just sustains data, which suppresses leakage current, particularly the bitline leakage flowing from bitlines to memory cells. This source-biasing technique, however, cannot maintain electromigration reliability [7], when the common source line is long, since the source line draws all sink current flowing from memory cells and heavy-load bitlines. In addition, a gate bias against the substrate in the source-biasing technique is not mitigated, which may potentially lead to gate leakage in future thin-oxide processes. To prevent this kind of gate leakage, well separation between the source and ground lines by adopting a triple-well technology is effective, but this requires more than 10% area overhead even when trench isolation is adopted [8].

The row-by-row dynamic V<sub>DD</sub> (RRDV) scheme [7] controls the V<sub>DD</sub> of an accessed row in operation but not the source voltage, and prevents active leakage by the drain-induced barrier lowering (DIBL) effect. The active leakage is exponentially reduced by the DIBL as the supply voltage is lowered [9]. The concept of the RRDV scheme is illustrated in Fig. 2 where a row decoder generates not only a wordline signal but also an additional cell activation signal. In the other hand, when a row is not accessed (in a dormant state), the cell V<sub>DD</sub> is set to a high voltage (V<sub>DDH</sub>). On the other hand, when a row is not accessed (in a dormant row), the cell V<sub>DD</sub> is lowered to a standby voltage (V<sub>DDL</sub>). The RRDV scheme localizes activation only in the accessed cells, and minimizes cell leakage. The cell leakage reduction with the row-by-row activation is less in nature than that with block-by-block activation due to the small activation region. V<sub>DDL</sub> must be low enough (< 350 mV) to achieve a one-order-of-magnitude leakage reduction but high enough to preserve stored data.

At the same time, we must pay attention to the timing between the cell V<sub>DD</sub> and the wordline voltage of an accessed row in the RRDV scheme. Since a pair of bitlines is precharged to V<sub>DDL</sub> to read a high-supply-voltage memory...
cell, the cell $V_{DD}$ is lower than the bitline voltage in a dormant row. At the beginning of the readout, if the wordline voltage becomes high before the cell $V_{DD}$, the data stored in the cell may be charged from the bitlines. This situation is similar to a write operation, and thus results in the destruction of the stored data. Figure 3 illustrates the data flips when a couple of inverters in a memory cell have a threshold variation. The unexpected data flips are observed, in which a wordline is asserted longer than a cell $V_{DD}$ only by 50 ps.

Due to this problem, a straightforward and simple implementation of the RRDV scheme is ineffective. Instead, we propose an improved version of the RRDV scheme, the self-alignment row-by-row variable $V_{DD}$ (SARRVV) scheme, in this paper.

2. Self-Alignment Row-by-Row Variable $V_{DD}$ Scheme

As shown in Fig. 2, a cell $V_{DD}$ line and a wordline have different RC delays. A cell $V_{DD}$ line is more capacitive since a couple of inverters in a memory cell have “H” and “L” outputs, and a cell $V_{DD}$ line has half the total capacitance of memory cells. This means that a cell $V_{DD}$ is usually slower in operation than a wordline voltage. Consequently, the wordline voltage increases faster than the cell $V_{DD}$ at rising edges, at which moment the memory cell exhibits a corrupted butterfly curve, as shown in Fig. 4. There is no static noise margin (SNM) at a cell $V_{DD}$ of 0.25 V when the voltage of a wordline ($V_{WL}$) is 0.30 V or higher, which is the reason why data stored even in balanced memory cells are corrupted in the RRDV scheme. Figure 5 again illustrates the SNM at the cell $V_{DD}$ of 0.25 V when $V_{WL}$ is changed. The SNM vanishes when $V_{WL}$ is more than 0.30 V.

Even if a cell $V_{DD}$ line is less capacitive than a wordline, the same situation takes place in falling edges where a row is deactivated. In any event, the RRDV scheme does not function unless it has proper timing control, since both a cell $V_{DD}$ line and a wordline are subject to different RC delays that may vary chip by chip. Eventually, self-alignment timing generation will be needed to achieve the goal.

In Fig. 6(b), our proposed SARRVV scheme capable of guaranteeing the timing requirement is illustrated. The SARRVV scheme is based on a feedback mechanism that takes into account the RC delay variation, unlike the conventional scheme in Fig. 6(a). In the proposed SARRVV scheme, there are two feedback signals in each row: $V_{SVF}$ that controls the timing of the falling edge of a cell $V_{DD}$, and $V_{WF}$ for the rising edge of a wordline. The additional timing generator is split into two parts. The first one is on the decoder side (front circuit) and the second one is at the end of a cell $V_{DD}$ line and a wordline (back circuit). Signal “DEC” is an output of the conventional NAND-type row decoder. In the static situation, all the cell $V_{DD}$s are $V_{DDL}$, and $V_{WL}$s are grounded.

When a row is selected, Node “A” in Fig. 6(b) begins to rise, and at the same time, $V_{WL}$ is cut out of the ground, but still retains its value. Then, the cell $V_{DD}$ increases to $V_{DDH}$.
after an RC delay of the cell $V_{DD}$ line, and the $V_{DDH}$ signal reaches Node “B.” Next, $V_{WF}$ starts falling, and when the transition edge of $V_{WF}$ reaches Node “C,” $V_{WL}$ is pulled up to $V_{DDH}$. In this way, we can ensure that $V_{WL}$ is activated after the cell $V_{DD}$ reaches $V_{DDH}$ for all the cells in the selected row.

In contrast, when the row is deselected, Signal “DEC” increases to $V_{DDH}$. Node “D” immediately starts discharging from $V_{DDH}$ to the ground. However, Node “A” remains high until the falling Node “E” sets $V_{SVF}$ to be high. Thus in the deselecting process, as well as the selecting process, we can ensure that the wordline is turned off before cell $V_{DD}$ is lowered to $V_{DDL}$. The same feedback mechanism can be utilized even in the source-biasing schemes to maximize the static noise margin.

Since the cell $V_{DD}$ in a dormant memory cell is a low $V_{DDL}$, the stored charge in the cell is small and the node data are more susceptible to coupling noise from bitlines and the feedback signals. In our memory cell layout, we provide a shielding cover of the grounded Metal-2 layer to protect the data-stored nodes from the coupling noise, as illustrated in Fig. 7. Bitlines are made of the Metal-3 layer, and the Metal-
4 layer is used for the feedback signals. Therefore, the cell area overhead for the proposed SARRVV scheme is zero.

3. Simulation and Measurement Results

To estimate the delay overhead added by the SARRVV scheme, we carried out a SPICE simulation on a readout time difference, as depicted in Fig. 8. The delay in both the conventional scheme and SARRVV schemes is defined as the time from the “DEC” assertions to the half \( V_{DD} \) on the bitlines. In the conventional scheme, a wordline driver in Fig. 6(a) drives a wordline as soon as Signal “DEC” is asserted. In contrast, in the SARRVV scheme, a wordline driver, as shown in Fig. 6(b), drives a wordline after the arrival of a feedback signal from Inverter I. Hence, there is some delay overhead on a wordline in the SARRVV scheme, which, in turn, causes the bitline delay in Fig. 8. The delay overhead on the bitline is 260 ps in the simulation (\( V_{DDH} = 1 \text{ V}, V_{DDL} = 270 \text{ mV} \)), which corresponds to a 1.5-fold delay of a fanout-4 2NAND and 9% of a 3-ns clock cycle. Note that, in the figure, the wordline voltage never surpasses the cell \( V_{DD} \) at either the rising or falling edge thanks to the self-alignment timing control.

A 16-kb (256 columns \( \times \) 64 rows) SARRVV SRAM test chip was manufactured in a 0.15-\( \mu \text{m} \) FD-SOI process technology with five metal layers. Figure 9 illustrates the measured waveforms output from one of the data buffers at \( V_{DD} \) of 1 V and a clock frequency of 1 MHz. Seven write-in accesses to different addresses followed by seven read-out accesses from the same addresses were obtained. The written and read data match.

The measured Shmoo plots are shown in Fig. 10. In the test, all addresses are verified by a logic tester with multi-

![Fig. 8](image_url) Operating waveforms in (a) the conventional scheme, and (b) the SARRVV scheme.

![Fig. 9](image_url) Output waveforms.

![Fig. 10](image_url) Shmoo plots. (a) The typical (CC) corner and (b) the fast (FF) corner.
tion voltage at the slow corner is inferred to be higher than the 260 mV at the typical corner since the implanted dopant concentration is higher and the random variation is larger at the SS corner.

The upper bounds of the Shmoo plots are roughly expressed as \( V_{DDL} = V_{DDH} - 0.5 \text{ V} \), which indicates the functional limit of the feedback inverter that drives \( V_{WF} \) (Inverter I in Fig. 6(b)). This implies that the feedback inverter does not operate when \( V_{DDH} - V_{DDL} \) is less than 0.5 V.

Figure 11 shows the simulated and measured leakage power of the cell array as a function of \( V_{DDL} \). The leakage power has two components: bitline leakage and cell leakage. The SARRVV can reduce the cell leakage power by 95% at \( V_{DDL} \) of 0.3 V by exploiting the DIBL effect. Even though the bitline leakage component is much less affected by \( V_{DDL} \), it can be reduced by making the channel length of the access transistor longer. Even when the channel length is expanded by only 10%, the bitline leakage is dramatically reduced since the long channel suppresses the short-channel effect (\( V_{TH} \) roll off), and keeps \( V_{TH} \) higher than that of the minimum-length transistor. In total, a 90% reduction of the active-leakage power is achievable.

Note that the SARRVV scheme will potentially reduce the gate leakage in a future thin-oxide process, as mentioned in Sect. 1. In practice, the reduction of cell leakage was achieved because of the DIBL effect in the fabrication process we utilized. This is because the subthreshold current is dominant and the gate leakage is completely negligible in the 0.15-\( \mu \text{m} \) process technology. However, the gate leakage is much larger in the 65-nm process technology, and will become prominent beyond the 45-nm process technology. The SARRVV scheme can suppress the gate leakage as well as the subthreshold leakage in future processes since gate leakage in a memory cell is exponentially proportional to the cell \( V_{DD} \).

The chip micrograph of the SARRVV 16-kb SRAM is shown in Fig. 12. The total area is 600 \( \times \) 350\( \mu \text{m}^2 \). Although there is no area overhead in memory cells, the self-alignment timing generator (front and back circuits) gives rise to a 5% overhead of additional area. In the figure, the additional lengths in the lateral direction are 20\( \mu \text{m} \) and 10\( \mu \text{m} \) for the front and back circuits, respectively. As shown in Fig. 6(b), the cell \( V_{DD} \) driver and wordline driver in the front circuit must be large enough to drive the heavy loads, while the inverters in the back circuit are used merely to feed signals back. Therefore, the area occupied by the front circuits is larger than that occupied by the back circuits. Since the cell array efficiency is typically 0.7 according to the ITRS Roadmap [2], the area overhead in the SARRVV scheme is reduced to 3.5% (= 5\% \times 0.7) in the entire SRAM including peripheral circuits. For a larger capacity SRAM, the area overhead will be lowered to less than 3%.

4. Conclusion

We proposed the self-alignment row-by-row variable \( V_{DD} \) (SARRVV) scheme for a low-active-leakage SRAM. The SARRVV scheme always maintains the cell \( V_{DD} \) higher than the wordline voltage to avoid any unexpected flip in the memory cell. We have verified that a retention voltage of 0.3 V reduces the cell leakage power by 95% and the total active-leakage power by 90% in a 0.15-\( \mu \text{m} \) SOI process technology. The additional area overhead is 3.5% in a 16-kb SRAM.
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