Energy-Efficient Spin-Transfer Torque RAM Cache Exploiting Additional All-Zero-Data Flags

Jinwook Jung[†], Yohei Nakata, Masahiko Yoshimoto, and Hiroshi Kawaguchi Graduate School of System Informatics, Kobe University, Japan [†]E-mail: jung@cs28.cs.kobe-u.ac.jp

Abstract

Large on-chip caches account for a considerable fraction of the total energy consumption in modern microprocessors. In this context, emerging Spin-Transfer Torque RAM (STT-RAM) has been regarded as a promising candidate to replace large on-chip SRAM caches in virtue of its nature of the zero leakage. However, large energy requirement of STT-RAM on write operations, resulting in a huge amount of dynamic energy consumption, precludes it from application to on-chip cache designs. In order to reduce the write energy of the STT-RAM cache thereby the total energy consumption, this paper provides an architectural technique which exploits the fact that many applications process a large number of zero data. The proposed design appends additional flags in cache tag arrays and set these additional bits if the corresponding data in the cache line is the zero-valued data in which all data bits are zero. Our experimental results show that the proposed cache design can reduce 73.78% and 69.30% of the dynamic energy on write operations at the byte and word granularities, respectively; total energy consumption reduced by 36.18% and 42.51%, respectively. In addition to the energy reduction, performance evaluation results indicate that the proposed cache improves the processor performance by 5.44% on average.

Keywords

Cache, Emerging devices, Spin-Transfer Torque RAM, Energy consumption, Zero-valued data

1. Introduction

With the advancement of the technology scaling, energy dissipation has come to be an increasingly significant challenges in integrated circuit designs [1]. In modern microprocessors, large on-chip caches which occupy a great fraction of the entire transistor counter account for a large portion of overall energy dissipation as well [2]–[3]. These cache structures are traditionally implemented with SRAM cells because of its fast access time. However, as the feature size of the process technology continues to scale down, the exponential increase of leakage power makes the SRAM-based on-chip cache a bottleneck of energy-efficient design [4]–[5].

Emerging non-volatile memory technologies have drawn attention as promising candidates to reduce the large energy consumption in the SRAM due to their inherent nature of nearly zero stand-by leakage. Among non-volatile memories, Spin-Transfer Torque RAM (STT-RAM) offers a faster read speed, higher integration density and better CMOS compatibility as well as virtually infinite write endurance [6]. By virtue of these advantages, STT-RAM has been thought of as a promising alternative to SRAM in large on-chip caches such as Level-two cache (L2 cache) and last level cache (LLC) [7]-[10].

However, in spite of these benefits of STT-RAM, one of the most significant challenges is its high energy on write operations. STT-RAM requires a substantial amount of current through a magnetic tunnel junction (MTJ), its memory element, during the write operations. This drawback hinders the substitution of SRAM for STT-RAM in on-chip cache structures for reducing the entire energy consumption. Therefore, to extend the application of STT-RAM to large on-chip cache designs, we must address the high write energy problem.

Several studies have been carried out on the STT-RAM cache to examine the possibility of its application to large on-chip cache structures. Dong et al. [7] presented an STT-RAM model to estimate the performance, area and energy, and explored the 3D-stacked STT-RAM cache design. Sun et al. [8] presented a read-preemptive write buffer design to alleviate the long write latency of STT-RAM as well as a hybrid L2 cache design with SRAM and STT-RAM to reduce the number of STT-RAM write operations. Zhou et al. [9] proposed a circuit level solution named the early write termination technique to reduce high energy consumption of STT-RAM on write operations. Park et al. [10] presented a cross-layer approach to energy efficient STT-RAM cache design and a partial cache line update scheme for write energy reduction.

In this paper, we present a solution to reduce total energy consumption of the STT-RAM cache. The proposed cache introduces additional all-zero-data flags of the corresponding cache line in its tag arrays at a certain granularity. By exploiting the prevalence of zero-valued data in many applications [11]–[15], the proposed cache does not directly execute write operations to STT-RAM array when every bits of the corresponding data is zero. Instead, the proposed cache set the all-zero-data flags in its tag arrays which represents that the correlating data in the cache line are zerovalued data. Our experimental evaluation show that with the additional all-zero-data flags, our design can efficiently reduce the energy consumption on write operations and total energy consumption with improved processor performance.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides the brief information about STT-RAM and 1T-1MTJ STT-RAM cell. It also describes the problem of the large dynamic energy consumption of STT-RAM on write operations. Section 3 presents the proposed cache design with additional all-zero-data flags which leverages the predominance of zero-valued data. Section 4 presents experimental evaluation results of the proposed cache design. Section 5 gives some concluding remarks.

2. Background

2.1. STT-RAM Cell

Magnetic RAM (MRAM) has been attracting attention as an engaging candidate to replace SRAM in the on-chip cache designs [7]–[10]. This novel memory device is based on the magnetoresistive effect for storing information. However, the first-generation MRAM uses external magnetic fields to change its binary states that give rise to the large switching current problem. Accordingly it has suffered from high energy consumption and poor scalability [16].

STT-RAM is a next-generation MRAM technology that leverages a physical effect known as spin-transfer torque (STT) for switching the binary states. STT-driven switching features considerably lower switching current compared to the previous generation of the MRAM technology. The lower switching current of STT-RAM results in the better scalability compared to the previous MRAM technology.

STT-RAM uses an MTJ device for its binary storage element. An MTJ is organized by two ferromagnetic layers which sandwich an insulating tunnel barrier (usually constructed with MgO). One of the ferromagnetic layers, the reference layer, has a fixed direction of magnetization whereas the magnetization of the free layer can be flipped by the injection of the appropriate current. The magnetization polarity of the free layer is determined by the direction of the injected current. When both the directions of magnetization in the free layer and reference layer are aligned, the resistance of MTJ is low representing a logical "0" state, which is named parallel state. When these two layers are in anti-parallel direction, the MTJ resistance is high which represents a logical "1". Figure 1 (a) depicts the anti-parallel and parallel states of an MTJ structure.



Figure 1: Structure of the magnetic tunnel junction and STT-RAM cell: (a) parallel and anti-parallel state of MTJ structure, (b) structure of an STT-RAM cell.

The most commonly used memory cell structure for STT-RAM is a 1T-1MTJ memory cell that comprises an MTJ device connected to an nMOS access transistor in series [17]. Figure 1 (b) shows the typical structure of the 1T-1MTJ STT-RAM cell. The gate of nMOS transistor is connected to the word line (WL), which is turned on during read and write operations. The source of the access transistor is connected to the source line (SL) and the free layer of the MTJ device is connected to the bit line (BL).

During a read operation, negative bias voltage is applied across the BL and SL. This bias voltage causes a tunneling current to flow through the oxide tunnel barrier whose magnitude is dependent on the current states of the MTJ device. The passing current for the read operation has to be small enough not to bring about a disturbed write operation. A sense amplifier which is connected to the bit line senses this passing current.

During a write operation, the 1T-1MTJ cell requires to establish a large voltage difference between the BL and the SL that can bring about an enough tunneling current to modify the magnetization of the free layer. The magnitude of the tunneling current required to change the magnetization direction of the free layer, which is designated as the critical current, is determined by the size of the MTJ device and the writing pulse duration [17]. Because the critical current will increase dramatically when the writing pulse width is shorter than 10 ns [17], we determined the writing pulse duration within 10 ns in this work. The bias voltage between the BL and the SL is positive when writing "0", and negative when writing "1".

The size of the nMOS transistor in a STT-RAM cell is determined by the required magnitude of the critical current to cause magnetization flips in the free layer on write operations. To drive the write current larger than the MTJ switching threshold, it is preferable to use a larger size of nMOS transistor as the access transistor. As a result, the access transistor relatively large and tends to determine the size of STT-RAM cell [17].

2.2. Write Energy in STT-RAM Cache

There are lots of advantages to use STT-RAM for onchip cache design, such as lower leakage power consumption, higher integration density and write endurance. However, despite these lots of merits, its high energy consumption during write operations may get rid of the benefits of using STT-RAM in on-chip cache design. Figure 2 shows the



Figure 2: Breakdown of cache access requests in a 1 MB 8-way set-associative L2 cache.





breakdown of cache accesses in an 8-way set-associative L2 cache of 1 MB. Refer to Section 4.1 for the details of the architectural simulation parameters. We can observe that read access requests are prominent for overall workloads and occupy 78.89% of the entire cache accesses on average. However, dynamic energy consumption in the STT-RAM cache is dominantly contributed by the energy consumed during write operations even for the data accesses are dominated by read accesses. As shown in Figure 3, a large amount of energy is consumed on write operations, which accounts for 76.91% of the dynamic energy consumption on average. Therefore the high energy requirement during write operations must be dealt with in order to improve the energy efficiency of the STT-RAM cache.

3. Proposed STT-RAM Cache Design

In this section, we present the proposed STT-RAM cache design exploiting the predominance of zero in the data manipulated by processors. We first describe that the data distribution is skewed toward the zero data. We then present the proposed cache design with the all-zero-data flags which exploits the prevalence of the zero data to reduce energy consumption of the STT-RAM cache.

3.1 Motivation

It has been reported that in many applications a great deal of data are null and that, in some cases, entire cache lines comprise only zero-valued data [11]–[15]. Figure 4 shows our observations on the proportion of the all-zero bytes and the all-zero words, in which every bit is zero, in 64-byte cache lines written to a 1 MB 8-way set-associative L2 cache during 2 billion processor instructions. It reveals that 68.40% of the bytes and 54.02% of the words written to the L2 cache comprises only zero-valued data, on average.



Figure 4: Proportions of the all-zero bytes and the all-zero words in 64-byte cache lines of 1 MB 8-way set-associative L2 cache with STT-RAM data arrays and SRAM tag arrays.

There are various reasons for the overall distribution of the data values to be lopsided towards a large number of zero data [14][17]. For instance, small positive integers and zeros are commonly used in many workloads, such as iteration counters for loop operation, array indexes and initializing values. These small values and zeros are usually stored as a word in caches. In addition, the applications with a large number of dynamic memory allocations include many of the heap objects which are heavily biased towards and initialized to zero. Heap objects also have a large number of zero values in the upper bits of address pointers. Not only the processed data stream, instructions also have many of zero data such as immediate values and address displacements which are often small integers. For these reasons, it is efficient to exploit the prevalence of zero data for reducing write energy of the STT-RAM cache which predominantly contributes to entire dynamic energy consumptions. In the proposed cache design, we leverage the predominance of zero data whose data bits are all zero to reduce the large write energy of the STT-RAM cache.

3.2 Proposed STT-RAM Cache Design

Figure 5 depicts an organization of one cache way of the proposed STT-RAM cache design. In the proposed STT-RAM cache design, tag arrays are implemented with SRAM cells because tag array operations require fast access and frequent updates of cache status bits [18]. To exploits the prevalence of zero-valued data for the STT-RAM write energy reduction, the proposed cache design introduces additional all-zero-data flags in cache tag arrays at a certain granularity such as a byte or word. The proposed cache design can also be easily combined with several circuit-level and device-level techniques such as [9]–[10], because it is an architectural solution which exploits the prevalence of zero bytes and zero words in processor operations.

In the cache write operations, the proposed cache first detects the all-zero data in the cache line to be written to the cache at a certain granularity. If the all-zero bytes or the all-zero words are detected, the proposed cache set the corresponding all-zero data flags in the tag arrays. Then, only non-zero bytes or words are written to the STT-RAM data arrays. The proposed cache can reduce the number of write operations to STT-RAM in this way; thereby a large amount of write energy consumed by the STT-RAM data array is efficiently reduced. In the cache read operations, the proposed cache only reads out non-zero bytes or words from the data array, and then reorganizes cache line combining the zero data which is generated based on the all-zero-data flag in tag arrays.

The additional operations, the all-zero detections for the cache write operations and the zero extensions for the cache read operations, can be executed simultaneously with other cache operations by employing the sequential tag-data access [10][19]–[20]. Figure 6 shows cache read mechanisms of the sequential tag-data access technique and the conventional



Figure 5: Organization of one cache way in the proposed cache design: the all-zero data are not written to or read from the STT-RAM data arrays



Figure 6: Comparison of cache read mechanisms between the sequential tag-data access and the conventional parallel tag-data access mechanisms.

cache access mechanism. Note that cache write operations for both the schemes are inherently conducted tag-data sequentially. In the sequential tag-data access, the cache first retrieves its tag arrays and accesses the data array at which a cache hit occurs. Actually, sequential tag-data access is usually leveraged in large, lower-level caches of modern microprocessors due to its energy efficiency [19][20]. Therefore, in this paper, we choose the sequential tag-data access as a baseline cache access mechanism.

In the proposed cache design, the all-zero data detection in write operations is executed retrieving the tag in tag arrays. Also, the zero extension for read operations is executed simultaneously with the data array access based on the allzero-data flags which are read out from the tag array in tag access phase. Therefore we can hide the cycle penalty of the additional zero detections and extensions in the proposed cache design.

The proposed cache design may seem to be similar to the cache energy reduction techniques such as the partial write or selective cache write scheme [10][21] in the way that they exploit the characteristics of the processed data. These techniques leverage the observation that many of data written to the cache has the same values which are already stored in the cache. Therefore they need a dummy read operation to check whether the data to be written to the cache is changed from the resident data. On the other hand, the proposed cache exploits the predominance of the zero in the data which are manipulated by processors. Note that it is possible to detect zero data in our scheme using only the data which is written to the cache without comparing to resident data. Therefore, in contrast with these techniques, the proposed cache does not need dummy reads which give rise to performance degradations. The proposed cache design could give us another chance to reduce the energy consumption of on-chip caches.

4. Experimental Results

In the following subsections, we describe experimental results of the proposed STT-RAM cache design. We conduct experiments under the assumption that the proposed cache is used for L2 cache of 1 MB which comprises SRAM tag arrays and STT-RAM data arrays. To begin with, we describe our experimental methodology for evaluating the proposed cache design. We then present the estimation of

the timing- and energy-related parameters of the proposed cache. We also analyze the impact of the proposed cache design on processor performance. Following the evaluation results of performance, energy consumption of the proposed cache is evaluated in terms of both the dynamic energy and the total energy consumption.

4.1. Methodology

Table 4 describes the baseline processor parameters used in our experimental evaluations. We used the gem5 architectural simulator [22] for the evaluation of the proposed cache design, which was modified to simulate the all-zero-data flags. We chose a processor with 2-way setassociative L1 instruction and 8-way set-associative L1 data caches of 32 KB each and a unified 8-way set-associative L2 cache of 1 MB as the baseline. We assumed that the L1 cache is implemented with SRAM, because it requires fast operations, and therefore has the identical latencies for both read and write operations. The details of the read and write latencies of the L2 cache in Table 4 are given below in Section 4.2. We chose eight benchmarks from SPEC2006 CINT and seven benchmarks from SPEC2006 CFP benchmarks [23]. All the simulations were executed for two billion instructions with warm-up period for one billion instructions.

Table 4: Baseline processor configuration

Parameter	Value	
Processor frequency	3 GHz	
L1 instruction cache	32-KB, 2-way, 64-byte cache line, 2-cycle access time	
L1 data cache	32-KB, 8-way, 64-byte cache line, 2-cycle access time	
Unified L2 cache	1 MB, 8-way, 64-byte cache line, 8-cycle read latency, 35-cycle write latency	
Cache replacement policy	Least recently used (LRU)	

To evaluate the proposed cache design, we used our modified version of CACTI 6.5 [24] for modeling the general cache peripherals and SRAM tag arrays. We modeled timing- and energy-related cache parameters, such as read and write latencies, dynamic energy consumption per cache access, area, and leakage. As described above, we chose a 1-MB 8-way set-associative L2 cache with STT-RAM data arrays and SRAM tag arrays as a baseline cache design which is implemented in 45 nm process technology. We selected the byte and word granularities for the granularity of the additional all-zero-data flags. The additional circuits for the proposed cache were synthesized and implemented in a 45-nm CMOS technology with Synopsys Design Compiler[®]. For the STT-RAM technologyrelated cell parameters, we referred to the several previous works [7]–[9] and scaled them to 45-nm process.

4.2. Modeling of Proposed STT-RAM Cache

Table 1 lists the estimated read and write latencies of STT-RAM data array and SRAM tag array in the proposed

designs. We set STT-RAM write pulse width to 10 ns as stated above in Section 2. In the proposed cache, the access latency of the SRAM tag array with the all-zero-data flags at the byte granularity (the all-zero-byte flags: AZBF) is larger than that of the tag array with the all-zero-data flags at the word granularity (the all-zero-word flags: AZWF): They are signified as AZBF and AZWF in Table 1 and hereafter in this paper. It is because, for the cache lines of 64 bytes, the proposed cache design with AZBF appends additional 64 bits in the SRAM tag array in each cache way whereas the proposed cache with AZWF adds 16 bits in the tag arrays. The large latencies of the tag arrays in the proposed design result from increased access time of SRAM arrays. Note that the STT-RAM data arrays in both of the implementations are identical to the baseline.

Table 1: Read and write latencies in the proposed cache

Attribute	STT-RAM data Array	Tag array (Baseline)	Tag array (w/ AZBF)	Tag array (w/ AZWF)
Read Latency	1.507 ns	0.743 ns	0.831 ns	0.762 ns
Write Latency	10.571 ns	0.743 ns	0.831 ns	0.762 ns

Table 2 shows the dynamic energy per read and write accesses consumed by each element. The STT-RAM data arrays are accessed on the byte granularity for the proposed cache with AZBF and on the word granularity with AZWF. Therefore we estimated the dynamic energy per access to the data array consumed by the STT-RAM cells in Table 2 at both the granularities. The dynamic energy consumption per cache operation of the baseline design in Table 2 is the energy consumed on one cache line access. The second row in Table 2 denotes the dynamic energies consumed by all tag arrays in the cache and additional peripheral circuitries. Note that every tag array is retrieved in each cache access. The proposed cache design with AZBF has larger per-access dynamic energy for tag accesses and peripherals mainly due to its large amounts of additional bits in tag arrays.

Table 2: Dynamic energy per cache access

	Baseline		w/ AZBF		w/AZWF	
	Read (1 line)	Write (1 line)	Read (1 byte)	Write (1 byte)	Read (1 word)	Write (1word)
STT-RAM Cells	0.013 nJ	1.417 nJ	0.203 pJ	22.13 pJ	0.813 pJ	88.53 pJ
Tag accesses peripherals	0.114 nJ	0.095 nJ	0.145 nJ	0.125 nJ	0.122 nJ	0.103 nJ

Based on estimated dynamic energies consumed by each element in Table 2, the dynamic energy per cache access of the proposed design can be calculated as:

$$E_{\rm dynamic} = E_{\rm tags} + N_{\rm non-zero} \times E_{\rm cells} \tag{1}$$

where E_{tags} , $N_{\text{non-zero}}$ and E_{cells} are the energy consumed by tag accesses and peripherals, the number of non-zero data in a cache line to be read from or written to the cache, and the energy consumed by the STT-RAM cells in data arrays, respectively. The value of $N_{\text{non-zero}}$ varies depending on the data to be read from or written to the cache. Its maximum value is bounded by the size of cache line; for the 64-byte cache line, the proposed cache design has 64 of the maximum value of $N_{\rm non-zero}$ at the byte granularity, and 16 at the word granularity.

We also estimated area and leakage of the proposed cache design as shown in Table 3. Due to its larger SRAM tag arrays, the proposed cache with AZBF shows higher leakage and area, which are increased by 50.13% and 20.97%, respectively, in comparison with the baseline cache design. The proposed cache with AZWF, on the other hand, shows relatively small overhead in leakage and area which are 13.37% and 5.81%, respectively.

Table 3: Estimated leakage and area.

Attribute	Baseline	w/ AZBF	w/ AZWF
Leakage (mW)	4.4955	6.7494	5.0966
Normalized leakage	1	1.5013	1.1337
Area (mm ²)	2.3131	2.7982	2.4301
Normalized area	1	1.2097	1.0581

4.3. Performance

As discussed in Section 3, the proposed cache design introduces no cycle penalties to write operations because the all-zero data detection for the proposed cache design can be simultaneously operated with tag array accesses. It is also pointed out that no cycle penalties are introduced on read operations as the zero extension is executed during the data array access phase. Therefore, there is no need to consider the processor performance overhead caused by the additional operations of the proposed cache. Processor performance is rather improved by adopting the proposed cache, if cache lines that are written to or read out from the cache are comprised of only all-zero bytes or all-zero words. In other words, every bit in a cache line consists of only zero. This is because data array accesses can be cut out in that situation.



Figure 7: Normalized IPC evaluation results.

Figure 7 shows the normalized instructions per cycles (IPCs) of each workload in the SPEC2006 benchmark suite. We observe that the proposed cache design improves processor IPC by 5.44% on average. Moreover, not only are there no performance losses, but the proposed cache design largely improves IPC over 10% for the workloads such as "libquantum", "bwaves" and "zeusmp"; These benchmarks are memory intensive and contain huge amount of all-zero-data as shown in Figure 4. Note that the proposed cache designs at both the granularities are equivalent in terms of

processor performance because the numbers of cache lines comprising only zero bits, which a processor manipulates, are invariable in identical conditions.

4.4. Energy Consumption

We evaluated energy consumption of the proposed cache and compared with the baseline STT-RAM cache design. Figure 8 shows the normalized dynamic energy consumption during write operations in each workload. The write energy consumptions for the proposed cache design with AZBF is reduced by 73.78% on average, and the energy consumption on write operations for the proposed cache with AZWF by 69.30%. The proposed cache designs with AZBF and with AZWF reduce at least 31.25% of the write energy consumption and 23.32%, respectively. We can observe over 90% of write energy is reduced in "libquantum" benchmark by the proposed cache design.



Figure 8: Evaluation results of the dynamic energy consumption during write operations.

Figure 9 shows the total dynamic energy consumption in each workload, considering both read and write operations. With the proposed scheme, total dynamic energy is reduced by 51.64% and 52.37%, on average, with AZBF and with

AZWF, respectively. We observe 1%–2% of the dynamic energy overhead for the proposed cache with AZBF in "mcf" and "games" benchmarks, which are extremely read access intensive as shown in Figure 2. This is due to the large read energy overhead in tag accesses. We observe that the total dynamic energy of the proposed cache with AZBF is slightly larger than that with AZWF; this is because a large amount of additional all-zero-byte flags resulting in increased tag read energy.

Figure 10 shows the evaluation results of the total energy consumption including leakage. By using the proposed caches with AZBF and with AZWF, the average energy consumptions are reduced by 36.18% and 42.51% on average, respectively. It can be observed that the proposed cache with AZWF is better than the cache with AZWF in terms of total energy consumption, because the leakage power of the cache with AZWF is smaller than that with AZBF as shown in Table 3.

4.5. Summary

Table 5 summarizes our experimental evaluations. The proposed cache design with the all-zero-data flags at the byte granularity (w/ AZBF in Table 5) would be the best choice to reduce dynamic energy consumption during write operations of the STT-RAM cache. However, in terms of total energy consumption, the proposed cache design with the all-zero-data flags at the word granularity (w/ AZWF in Table 5) is the most superior, which can reduce 42.51% of total energy consumption. This is mainly due to its smaller overhead in area of the SRAM tag arrays and leakage. The proposed cache can improve the processor performance by







Figure 10: Comparison of total energy consumption including leakage between the baseline SRAM and STT-RAM hybrid cache design, and the proposed cache design at the byte and word granularities.

 Table 5: Summary of experimental evaluations

Attribute	Baseline	w/ AZBF	w/ AZWF
Area (mm ²)	2.3131	2.7982	2.4301
Leakage (mW)	4.4955	6.7494	5.0966
Processor IPC (normalized)	1	1.0544	1.0544
Write energy (normalized)	1	0.2622	0.3070
Dynamic energy (normalized)	1	0.4836	0.4763
Total energy (normalized)	1	0.6382	0.5749

5.45% at both the granularities of additional zero flags.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed an STT-RAM cache design technique which can efficiently reduce dynamic energy consumption of STT-RAM during write operations and thereby total energy consumption. The proposed cache design exploits the observation that there are a large number of zero-valued data in many applications. The proposed cache design appends the all-zero-data flags at a certain granularity in cache tag arrays and set these flags if the corresponding data in the cache line to be written to the cache is zero-valued data. Experimental results show that the proposed cache can reduce 73.78% and 69.30% of the dynamic energy on write operations with the all-zero-data flags at the byte and word granularities, respectively. This results in 36.18% less total energy consumption using the proposed cache with the byte granularity flags and 42.51% with the word granularity. Performance evaluation results also show that the proposed cache design can improve processor performance by 5.44% on average. Our proposed cache design provides an efficient solution to reduce the energy consumption of the STT-RAM cache.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by The Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI), and The New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO).

References

- S. Kaxiras and M. Martonosi, "Cache decay: exploiting generational behavior to reduce cache leakage power," in *Proceedings of the 28th Annual International Symposium on Computer Architecture*, pp. 240–251, May 2001.
- [2] B. Rogers, A. Krishna, G.Bell, K. Vu, X. Jiang, and Y. Solihin, "Scaling the Bandwidth Wall: Challenges in and Avenues for CMP Scaling," in *Proceedings of the 36th Annual International Symposium on Computer Architecture*, pp. 371–382, Jun. 2009.
- [3] N. Kim, T. Austin, D. Blaauw, T. Mudge, K. Flautner, M. Irwin, M. Kandemir, and V. Narayanan, "Leakage current: Moore's law meets static power," in *IEEE Computer*, vol. 36, no. 12, pp. 68–75, Dec. 2003.
- [4] N. Kim, K. Flautner, D. Blaauw, and T. Mudge, "Drowsy Instruction Caches: Leakage Power Reduction using Dynamic Voltage Scaling and Cache Sub-bank Prediction," in *Proceedings of the 35th Annual* ACM/IEEE International Symposium on Microarchitecture, pp. 219– 230, Nov. 2002.
- [5] K. Roy, S. Mukhopadhyay, and H. Mahmoodi-Meimand, "Leakage Current Mechanisms and Leakage Reduction Techniques in Deep-

submicrometer CMOS Circuits," in *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 91, no. 2, pp. 305–327, Feb. 2003.

- [6] ITRS Report 2011, Available: http://www.itrs.net/.
- [7] X. Dong, X. Wu, G. Sun, Y. Xie, H. Li, and Y. Chen, "Circuit and Microarchitecture Evaluation of 3D Stacking Magnetic RAM (MRAM) as a Universal Memory Replacement," in *Proceedings of the 45th Annual Design Automation Conference*, pp. 554–559, Jun. 2008.
- [8] G. Sun, X. Dong, Y. Xie, J. Li, and Y. Chen, "A Novel Architecture of the 3D Stacked MRAM L2 Cache for CMPs," in *Proceedings of IEEE 15th International Symposium on High Performance Computer Architecture*, pp. 239–249, Feb. 2009.
- [9] P. Zhou, B. Zhao, J. Yang, and Y. Zhang, "Energy Reduction for STT-RAM Using Early Write Termination," in *Proceedings of the* 2009 International Conference on Computer-Aided Design, pp. 264– 268, Nov. 2009.
- [10] S. Park, S. Gupta, N. Mojumder, A. Raghunathan, and K. Roy, "Future Cache Design using STT MRAMs for Improved Energy Efficiency," in *Proceedings of the 49th Annual Design Automation Conference*, pp. 492–497. Mar. 2012.
- [11] M. Ekman and P. Stenstrom, "A Robust Main-memory Compression Scheme," in *Proceedings of the 32nd Annual International Symposium on Computer Architecture*, pp. 74–85, Jun. 2005.
- [12] L. Villa, M. Zhang, and K. Asanović, "Dynamic Zero Compression for Cache Energy Reduction," in *Proceedings of the 33rd Snnual* ACM/IEEE International Symposium on Microarchitecture, pp. 214– 220, Dec. 2000.
- [13] J. Dusser, T. Piquet, and A. Seznec, "Zero-Content Augmented Caches," in *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Conference on Supercomputing*, pp. 46–55, Jun. 2009.
- [14] Y. Chang and F. Lai, "Dynamic Zero-Sensitivity Scheme for Low-Power Cache Memories," in *IEEE Micro*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 20–32, Jul. 2005.
- [15] A. Moshovos, B. Falsafi, F. Najm, and N. Azizi, "A Case for Asymmetric-Cell Cache Memories," in *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 877–881, Jul. 2005.
- [16] J. Zhu, "Magnetoresistive Random Access Memory: The Path to Competitiveness and Scalability," in *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 96, no. 11, pp. 1786–1798, Nov. 2008.
- [17] M. Hosomi et al., "A Novel Nonvolatile Memory with Spin Torque Transfer Magnetization Switching: Spin-RAM," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting*, pp. 459–462, Dec. 2005.
- [18] M. Rasquinha, D. Choudhary, S. Chatterjee, S. Mukhopadhyay, and S. Yalamanchili, "An Energy Efficient Cache Design Using Spin Torque Transfer (STT) RAM," in *Proceedings of the 16th ACM/IEEE International Symposium on Low Power Electronics and Design*, pp. 389–394, Aug. 2010.
- [19] Z. Chishti, M. Powell, and T. Vijaykumar, "Distance Associativity for High-Performance Energy-Efficient Non-Uniform Cache Architectures," in *Proceedings of the 36th Annual ACM/IEEE International Symposium on Microarchitecture*, pp. 55–66, Dec. 2003.
- [20] D. Weiss, J. Wuu, and V. Chin, "The On-Chip 3-MB Subarray-Based Third-Level Cache on an Itanium Microprocessor," in *IEEE Journal* of Solid-State Circuits, vol. 37, no. 11, pp. 1523–1529, Nov. 2002.
- [21] W. Xu, J. Liu, and T. Zhang, "Data Manipulation Techniques to Reduce Phase Change Memory Write Energy," in *Proceedings of the* 14th ACM/IEEE International Symposium on Low Power Electronics and Design, pp. 237–242, Aug. 2009.
- [22] N. Binkert et al., "The gem5 Simulator," in ACM SIGARCH Computer Architecture News, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 1–7, Aug. 2011.
- [23] Standard Performance Evaluation Corporation, "The SPEC CPU 2006 Benchmark Suite," Available: http://www.specbench.org.
- [24] N. Muralimanohar, R. Balasubramonian, and N. Jouppi, "CACTI 6.0: A Tool to Model Large Caches," Technical Report HPL-2009-85, Hewlett Packard Laboratories, 2009